

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/082,492	02/22/2002		Gene Samson	271/231	4234
28075	7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER		
CROMPTO	N, SEA	GER & TUFTE, L	ROBERT, EDUARDO C		
1221 NICOI SUITE 800	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DLIS. MN	55403-2420		3732	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Mh	
/ 40 1	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,492	SAMSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eduardo C. Robert	3732		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
		Eduardo C. Robert	3732							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
	REPLY FILED 11 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
b)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
ceen CFR above earne NOT	een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 if R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.						
	NDMENTS									
3. [≥	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.							
4. F	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).						
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			,						
3. [Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling						
7. 🗵	the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
	 IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).						
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of t	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.						
11.	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:						
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	3						
		,	Eduardo C. Rober Primary.Examiner Art Unit: 3732							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed deletion and addition of limitations into claims 21 and 26 would required further consideration and search since the scope of the claims have been changed, e.g. new rejection should be considered like double patenting rejection and new art rejection.